RECOMMENDED STANDARD MITIGATION MEASURES FOR PROJECTS IN SONORAN DESERT TORTOISE HABITAT

Arizona Interagency Desert Tortoise Team June 2008

The following mitigation process and measures are recommended by the Arizona Interagency Desert Tortoise Team (AIDTT) for proposed surface-disturbing projects located in the habitat of the Sonoran population of the desert tortoise, *Gopherus agassizii*.

Mitigation for projects in the habitat of the Mojave population, located north and west of the Colorado River, will be addressed by project proponents, land management agencies, Arizona Game and Fish Department, and the Fish and Wildlife Service through consultations between the Service and Federal agencies in accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act and in the habitat conservation planning process for private actions. This document is a supplement to the AIDTT Management Plan (AIDTT 1996).

Determining the Need for Mitigation

Project proponents, in coordination with local land managers, Arizona Game and Fish Department, and Fish and Wildlife Service, must determine whether desert tortoises are present or may occur in areas that would be disturbed by proposed projects. Presence can often be confirmed by contacting biologists with the Bureau of Land Management, Arizona Game and Fish Department, or other local biologists that have knowledge of specific areas or access to the Arizona Game and Fish Department Heritage Data Management System or other data bases that list locality data for desert tortoises. Tortoises can be expected to occur in desert mountains, rocky areas, washes cut through caliche, and bajadas in desert scrub vegetation communities. Tortoises are typically absent above 4,500 feet elevation. Mitigation will generally not be needed above 4,500 feet.

If tortoises have been found in the project area or nearby areas of similar habitat, the species can be presumed present and appropriate mitigation must be included in the proposed project. If presence is questionable, surveys by qualified biologists should be conducted. Often, casual surveys by qualified biologists that focus on microsites with the greatest potential for supporting tortoises can confirm the presence of the species. More intensive work is needed to suggest absence of tortoises. We recommend that these intensive surveys generally follow Fish and Wildlife Service survey protocol for the Mojave population (Fish and Wildlife Service 1992), except that areas with little or no potential for desert tortoises, such as dry lake beds and riparian areas need not be surveyed. Tortoise biologists conducting surveys should be familiar with the habitats and survey methods for Sonoran tortoises, which are in many ways different from those of the Mojave population. If the species is present in the project area (including the zone of influence - Fish and Wildlife Service 1992), mitigation should be included as a component of the project design.

Mitigation Plan

Mitigation should be tailored to the nature of the proposed action, its anticipated effects, and the density and expected response of desert tortoises to the action. The following mitigation actions are grouped to assist in selection of appropriate actions for specific projects. Nevertheless, each project is different and development of an appropriate mitigation plan will require the input of a desert tortoise biologist and authorizing agencies, such as the Arizona Game and Fish Department and, for actions on Federal lands, the Bureau of Land Management, Forest Service, Bureau of Reclamation, and Department of Defense. Approval of a mitigation plan will typically be by an authorizing or permitting/authorizing land management agency, but only Arizona Game and Fish Department can authorize handling or moving tortoises. Mitigation measures suggested herein are recommendations to be used in developing mitigation plans for specific projects. Required mitigation will be developed by permitting agencies and project proponents in accordance with land management plans, the Desert Tortoise Rangewide Plan (Spang et al. 1988), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and other applicable guidance and regulations. In general, more rigorous mitigation should be sought in areas supporting moderate to high density tortoise populations (>20 tortoises/mi), in category 1 and 2 habitats (Spang et al. 1988), and in Sonoran Desert Management Areas (AIDTT 1996).

The first set of mitigation measures are presented as a generic mitigation outline. Within the outline, measures are listed in the general order and priority in which they should be applied to project proposals. This step-down process is in accordance with NEPA regulations and Fish and Wildlife Service mitigation policy. A second set of measures follow the outline and consist of project-specific mitigation recommendations. These and/or other measures developed during project planning should be added to the generic mitigation outline as appropriate. A good source of ideas for mitigation measures is the biological analysis for the proposed Eagle Mountain Landfill (Circle Mountain Biological Consultants 1996), in which the author summarizes mitigation measures used as terms and conditions in biological opinions for the Mojave population of the desert tortoise.

Some of the following recommended measures are defined fairly specifically; others provide more general guidance to be considered in the process of developing a project mitigation plan. As these measures are adapted for inclusion into a mitigation plan, replace "should" with "shall" to indicate that they are mandatory stipulations.

Generic Mitigation Plan For Projects in Desert Tortoise Habitat:

Priority 1: Avoid the Impacts

To the extent possible, project features should be located in previously disturbed areas or outside of desert tortoise habitat.

If impacts to desert tortoises or their habitat can not be avoided, then:

A. Scheduling Activities to Reduce Potential Adverse Effects:

To the extent possible, project activities should be scheduled when tortoises are inactive (typically November 1 to March 1).

B. Information and Education of Project Personnel:

A desert tortoise protection education program should be presented to all employees, inspectors, supervisors, contractors, and subcontractors who carry out proposed activities at the project site. The education program should include discussions of the following:

- 1. The legal and sensitive status of the tortoise;
- 2. a brief discussion of tortoise life history and ecology;
- 3. mitigation measures designed to reduce adverse effects to tortoises;
- 4. and protocols to follow if a tortoise is encountered, including appropriate contact points.

C. Designation of a Desert Tortoise Coordinator:

The project proponent should designate a desert tortoise coordinator (DTC) who should be responsible for overseeing compliance with the mitigation program, coordination with permitting agencies, land managers, and Arizona Game and Fish Department; and as a contact point for personnel that encounter desert tortoises. The DTC should be on site during project activities and should be familiar with and have a copy of the desert tortoise mitigation plan.

D. Removal of Harm to Desert Tortoises on Project Sites:

If a tortoise is found in a project area, activities should be modified to avoid injuring or harming it. If activities cannot be modified, tortoises in harm's way should be moved in accordance with Arizona Game and Fish Department's "Guidelines for Handling Sonoran Desert Tortoises Encountered on Development Projects", revised October 23, 2007 (or the latest revision). Take, possession, or harassment of a desert tortoise is prohibited by State law, unless specifically authorized by Arizona Game and Fish Department.

E. Minimization of Project Footprint:

1. Vehicle use should be limited to existing or designated routes to the extent possible.

2. Areas of new construction or disturbance should be flagged or marked on the ground prior to construction. All construction workers should strictly limit their activities and vehicles to areas that have been marked. Construction personnel should be trained to recognize markers and understand the equipment movement restrictions involved.

F. Limitation of Habitat Disturbance within the Project Footprint:

- 1. Blading of new access or work areas should be minimized to the extent possible. Disturbance to shrubs should be avoided if possible. If shrubs cannot be avoided during equipment operation or vehicle use, wherever possible they should be crushed rather excavated or bladed and removed.
- 2. Project features that might trap or entangle desert tortoises, such as open trenches, pits, open pipes, etc should be covered or modified to prevent entrapment. [This may only be necessary during the tortoise active season and may be unnecessary if an on-site biologist is monitoring activities see "Suggested Mitigation Measures for Projects Conducted During the Tortoise Activity Period... "below.]

G. Preventing Attraction of Predators or Enhancement of Predator Populations:

Construction sites should be maintained in a sanitary condition at all times. The project proponent should be responsible for controlling and limiting litter, trash, and garbage by immediately placing refuse in predator-proof, sealable receptacles. Trash and debris should be removed when construction is complete.

Priority 3: Rectify the Impacts

A. Removal of Hazards:

After completion of the project, trenches, pits, and other features in which tortoises could be entrapped or entangled, should be filled in, covered, or otherwise modified so they are no longer a hazard to desert tortoises.

B. Habitat Restoration:

After project completion, measures should be taken to facilitate restoration. Restoration techniques should be tailored to the characteristics of the site and the nature of project impacts identified in the mitigation plan as developed by project biologists, Arizona Game and Fish Department, and permitting State and Federal agencies. Techniques may include removal of equipment and debris, recontouring, replacing boulders that were moved during construction; and seeding, planting, transplanting of cacti and yuccas, etc. Only native plant species, preferably from a source on or near the project area, should be used in restoration.

Priority 4.- Reduce or Eliminate the Impacts over Time, and Provide Guidance and Information for Improving Future Mitigation Plans

Monitoring and Reporting Requirements:

The project proponent should submit a monitoring report to the Arizona Game and Fish Department and any permitting State or Federal agency within 90 days of project completion. For long-term or ongoing projects that may result in continuing impacts to tortoises and habitat, annual monitoring reports should be prepared. Monitoring reports should briefly document the effectiveness of the desert tortoise mitigation measures, actual acreage of desert tortoise habitat disturbed, the number of desert tortoises excavated from burrows, the number of desert tortoises moved from construction sites, and other applicable information on individual desert tortoise encounters. The report should make recommendations for modifying or refining the mitigation program to enhance desert tortoise protection and reduce needless hardship on the project proponents.

Priority 5: Compensate for Residual Impacts

In accordance with "Compensation for the Desert Tortoise" (Desert Tortoise Compensation Team 1991), signed by Desert Tortoise Management Oversight Group, authorizing agencies should require compensation for residual impacts to desert tortoise habitat.

The following mitigation measures are designed for specific project types or conditions. Most act to minimize project impacts (priority 2 measures).

For Projects Involving Hazardous Materials:

Oil, fuel, pesticides, and other hazardous material spills should be cleaned up and properly disposed of as soon as they occur in accordance with applicable State and Federal regulations. All hazardous material spills must be reported promptly to the appropriate surface management agencies and hazardous materials management authorities.

For Projects Conducted During the Tortoise Activity Period (typically March 1 to November 1)

1. Construction and operation activities should be monitored by a qualified desert tortoise biologist. The biologist should be present during all activities in which encounters with tortoises may occur. The biologist should watch for tortoises wandering into construction areas, check under vehicles, check at least three times per day any excavations that might

trap tortoises, and conduct other activities necessary to ensure that death and injury of tortoises is minimized. This measure may only be warranted in areas of moderate to high tortoise density, category 1 or 2 habitat, or in Sonoran Desert Management Areas.

- 2. Unleashed dogs should be prohibited in project areas.
- 3. Temporary fencing, such as chicken wire, snow fencing, chain link, and other suitable materials should be used in designated areas to reduce encounters with tortoises on short-term projects, such as construction of power lines, burial of fiber optic cables, etc, where encounters with tortoises are likely.

For Long-term or Permanent Projects in Which Continued Encounters with Desert Tortoises Are Expected:

Construction of schools, factories, power plants, office buildings, and other permanent or long-term projects in moderate to high density desert tortoise habitat should be enclosed with desert tortoise barrier fencing to prevent tortoises from wandering onto the project site where they may be subject to collection, death, or injury. Barrier fencing should consist of wire mesh with a maximum mesh size of 1inch (horizontal) by 2-inch (vertical) fastened securely to posts. The wire mesh should extend at least 18 inches above the ground and preferably 12 inches below the surface of the ground. Where burial is not possible, the lower 12 inches should be folded outward, away from the enclosed site, and fastened to the ground so as to prevent tortoise entry. Any gates or gaps in the fence should be constructed and operated to prevent desert tortoise entry (such as installing "tortoise guards" similar to cattle guards, and/or keeping gates closed). Specific measures for tortoise-proofing gates and gaps should be addressed project by project. Fencing is a relatively expensive mitigation measure and may only be appropriate in areas of moderate to high tortoise density, category I or 2 habitats, or Sonoran Desert Management Areas.

For Projects in Which Encounters Between Vehicles and Tortoises are Likely:

In desert tortoise habitat project-related vehicles should not exceed 25 miles per hour on unpaved roads.

For Road and Railroad Construction or Improvements in Desert Tortoise Habitat:

1. New paved roads and highways or major modifications of existing roads through desert tortoise habitat should be fenced with desert tortoise barrier fencing (described above). Culverts, to allow safe passage of tortoises, should be constructed approximately every mile of new paved roads and railroads (culverts can also serve the more typical purpose of conducting water under roads and railroads). The culvert diameter needed to encourage tortoise use is correlated with culvert length, but generally short culverts of large diameter are most likely to be used. Culvert design should be coordinated with

Arizona Game and Fish Department and authorizing State and Federal agencies. The floor of the culvert should be covered with dirt and maintenance should be performed as necessary to maintain an open corridor for tortoise movement. Fencing and culverts may only be warranted in areas of moderate to high tortoise densities, category 1 or 2 habitats, or in Sonoran Desert Management Areas.

- 2. Use of roads constructed for specific non-public purposes, such as access routes to microwave towers, should be limited to administrative use only.
- 3. Temporary access routes created during project construction should be modified as necessary to prevent further use. Closure of access routes could be achieved by ripping, barricading, posting the route as closed, and/or seeding and planting with native plants.

References Cited

- Arizona Interagency Desert Tortoise Team. 1996. Arizona Interagency Desert Tortoise Team Management Plan.
- Circle Mountain Biological Consultants. 1996. Federal biological opinion analysis for the proposed Eagle Mountain Landfill Project. Prepared for CH2MHILL, Santa Ana, California.
- Desert Tortoise Compensation Team. 1991. Compensation for the desert tortoise. Report to the Desert Tortoise Management Oversight Group.
- Fish and Wildlife Service. 1992. Procedures for endangered species act compliance for the Mojave population of the desert tortoise. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1 -Portland, Region 2 Albuquerque, and Region 6 Salt Lake City.
- Spang, E.F., G.W. Lamb, F. Rowley, W.H. Radtkey, R.R. Olendorff, E.A. Dahlem, and S. Slone. 1988. Desert tortoise habitat management on the public lands: A rangewide plan. Report prepared for Bureau of Land Management, Division of Wildlife and Fisheries, 903 Premier Building, 18th and C Streets, N. W., Washington, D.C. 20240. 23 pp.